

## ASEN 5327 Computational Fluid Dynamics Spring 2009

### Homework 3, due Tuesday, March 10

Problems from Tannehill, Anderson, and Pletcher

3.25

3.26

3.28

3.29

3.30

3.34

3.35

3.36

1. As demonstrated in your prior homework assignment, the advection-diffusion equation has a stability bound that is dependent on Reynolds number as well as the details of the time-advancement scheme. In this exercise and the following two, we will use both analysis and simulation to determine and verify the stability characteristics of numerical approximations to this equation.

- (a) Assuming a uniform mesh with periodic boundary conditions, determine the eigenvalue spectrum when both the advective and diffusive terms are approximated with 3-point central differences. Plot the eigenvalue spectrum (multiplied by  $\Delta t$ ) in the complex plane for  $R_\Delta=1.0, 2.0, 3.0$ , where  $R_\Delta = c\Delta x/\nu$  is the mesh Reynolds number. Choose an appropriate value for the CFL number ( $CFL=c\Delta t/\Delta x$ ) for each value of  $R_\Delta$  so that the minimum real value of  $\lambda\Delta t$  is -2.0 in each case. Superimpose the stability boundary for the Explicit Euler scheme on this plot.
- (b) Based on the plot made above, deduce that the simple scaling employed is sufficient to determine the stability bound when  $R_\Delta \leq 2.0$ . Write down the corresponding relationship in the form  $CFL_{max} = f(R_\Delta)$ . Also show that further analysis must be performed in order to determine the stability bound for  $R_\Delta > 2.0$ .
- (c) From the plot made above, we see that the eigenvalues break through the stability boundary near the origin when  $R_\Delta > 2.0$ . We can therefore analyze this problem by developing the expression for the amplification factor in a series expansion taken about  $k\Delta x = 0$ . Undertake such an analysis where the stability requirement for the Explicit Euler method,  $|e^{\lambda\Delta t}| = |1 + \lambda\Delta t| \leq 1$ , is expanded

for small  $k\Delta x = 0$ . Show that this analysis leads to a second constraint of the form  $CFL_{max} = f(R_\Delta)$ , which must be respected when  $R_\Delta > 2.0$ .

- (d) Show that the results from parts (b) and (c) can be combined using a min function in order to arrive at a unified expression for the maximum allowable CFL number as a function of the mesh Reynolds number ( $R_\Delta$ ). What is the asymptotic value of  $CFL_{max}$  in the inviscid limit (i.e. as  $R_\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ ). Argue convincingly that this is the expected result.

2. Repeat the above analysis for a first-order upwind approximation of the advective term (while retaining the second order centered difference for the diffusion term).

1. Plot the eigenvalue spectra for  $R_\Delta = 1.0, 2.0, 10.0$ .
2. Determine the time step restriction in the form  $CFL_{max} = f(R_\Delta)$ .
3. What is the asymptotic value of  $CFL_{max}$  in the inviscid limit for this scheme. Argue convincingly that this is the expected result.

3. Check the results of problems 1 and 2 by performing numerical simulations with your advection-diffusion solver. Use 40 equi-spaced points in  $x$  and adjust the Reynolds number so that you achieve cases with  $R_\Delta = 0.5, 2.0, 8.0$ . For each case, adjust the time step until the solution is diverging when taken out to  $ct/L = 5.0$ . A good way to assess this is to compute the rms difference between the computed and steady-state solutions at each time step. The rms difference should settle to a constant value for stable cases. Compare the observed stability bound with the prediction. Comment on any differences.